

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TRANSPORTATION COMPLIANCE
ASSOCIATES INC. & SIMPLIFIED SERVICE
CORPORATION d/b/a LANtek COMPUTER
SERVICES,

2:11-cv-1602

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADAM J. HAMMOND,

Defendant.

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS ACTION FOR LACK OF
SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH
A RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED, AND FAILURE TO JOIN A “NECESSARY” AND
“INDISPENSABLE” PARTY UNDER RULE 19 [FRCP 12(b)(1), 12(b)(6), 12(b)(7)] (DOC.
NO. 29)**

AND NOW, this 24th day of February 2012, for the reasons set forth in the foregoing Memorandum Opinion, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Adam J. Hammond’s Motion To Dismiss Action for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Failure to State a Claim Upon Which a Relief Can Be Granted, and Failure to Join a “Necessary” and “Indispensable” Party Under Rule 19 [FRCP 12(B)(1), 12(B)(6), 12(B)(7)] (doc. no. 29) is DENIED.

s/Arthur J. Schwab
Arthur J. Schwab
United States District Judge

cc: All Registered ECF Counsel and Parties